Raspberry Pi Peer-to-Peer Network with IoT

| **Criteria** | **Exemplary (4)** | **Proficient (3)** | **Basic (2)** | **Limited (1)** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Networking Concepts** | Provides in-depth and articulate explanations of networking terms and principles. | Demonstrates clear explanations of terms such as IP addresses, routers, and protocols. | Can explain fundamental terms and concepts related to networking. | Shows difficulty in explaining fundamental terms such as IP addresses, routers, or protocols. |
| **Basic Networking Security** | Provides detailed explanations of a wide range of security threats and vulnerabilities. | Identifies and explains common security threats and vulnerabilities. | Can identify some common security threats and vulnerabilities. | Struggles to identify common security threats and vulnerabilities. |
| **Internet of Things** | Implements a robust IoT solution, successfully integrating Wi-Fi connectivity, and securely transmitting sensor data to a chosen cloud platform with advanced features like real-time monitoring. | Demonstrates competence in IoT implementation, with minor issues or limitations in the integration of Wi-Fi and cloud communication. | Shows a foundational understanding of IoT concepts but encounters notable challenges or limitations in implementing Wi-Fi connectivity or cloud integration. | Struggles significantly with IoT implementation, resulting in unreliable or non-existent data transmission to the cloud platform. |
| **Troubleshooting** | Effectively identifies and resolves issues, implementing comprehensive error handling mechanisms, and providing detailed troubleshooting guidelines. | Demonstrates the ability to troubleshoot common issues, with minor gaps or oversights in error handling and troubleshooting documentation. | Shows a foundational understanding of troubleshooting, but encounters notable challenges or limitations in identifying and resolving issues. | Struggles significantly with troubleshooting, resulting in persistent issues and a lack of effective error handling. |

| **Criteria** | **Exemplary (4)** | **Proficient (3)** | **Basic (2)** | **Limited (1)** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Define Program Function** | The program function is well-defined, clear, and comprehensive. It includes all key features necessary for a recipe app, and the scope is appropriately defined, taking into account the target audience and user needs. | The program function is mostly clear and includes most of the essential features for a recipe app. Some aspects may require further refinement. | The program function is partially defined, but it lacks clarity or completeness. Key features may be missing or vaguely described. | The program function is poorly defined and lacks clarity. It is missing essential features or does not consider the needs of the target audience. |
| **Program Design** | The wireframes and mockups are highly detailed, visually appealing, and effectively communicate the app's design. The design aligns with the defined program function and user needs. | The wireframes and mockups are well-crafted and provide a clear representation of the app's design. They generally align with the defined program function and user needs. | The wireframes and mockups are somewhat detailed but may lack consistency or clarity in design. They require further refinement to fully align with the program function. | The wireframes and mockups lack detail, consistency, or clarity, making it challenging to understand the app's design. Significant improvements are needed. |
| **Identifying and Correcting Errors** | Errors or design flaws are proactively identified and thoroughly corrected. The design demonstrates a high level of attention to detail and usability. | Most errors or design flaws are identified and corrected during the design process. The design shows good attention to detail and usability. | Some errors or design flaws are identified and corrected, but others remain unresolved. The design may lack consistency or exhibit minor usability issues. | Few errors or design flaws are addressed, and many issues remain unresolved. The design lacks attention to detail and usability. |
| **Working in Teams** | Team members collaborate effectively, with clear roles and responsibilities. Communication is excellent, and the project is well-organized and on schedule. | Team members work well together, with defined roles and good communication. The project is generally organized, with minor deviations from the schedule. | Team members work together but may struggle with role definition and communication. The project has some organizational challenges and deviations from the schedule. | Team members have difficulty working together, with unclear roles and poor communication. The project is disorganized, and there are significant deviations from the schedule. |
| **Development Cycle** | The project follows a well-structured development cycle with clear phases, milestones, and deadlines. Each phase is executed meticulously, and the team consistently meets or exceeds the schedule. | The project follows an organized development cycle with defined phases and milestones. Most deadlines are met, and the project generally progresses smoothly. | The development cycle is somewhat structured, but there may be some deviations from the plan. Some milestones and deadlines are met, but the project may experience delays or challenges. | The development cycle lacks clear structure and organization. Milestones and deadlines are frequently missed, leading to significant project delays. |
| **Documentation** | The project documentation is comprehensive, well-organized, and clearly articulates the design rationale, user feedback, and revisions made. It serves as an excellent resource for future reference. | The project documentation is well-structured and covers essential aspects of the design process, including design rationale, user feedback, and revisions. It is a valuable resource for reference. | The project documentation is somewhat organized but may lack completeness or clarity in certain areas. It provides a basic overview of the design process. | The project documentation lacks organization, and key aspects of the design process, such as design rationale, user feedback, and revisions, are missing or unclear. |
| **User Testing** | User testing is conducted with a diverse group of target users, and feedback is extensive and insightful. The app design is refined based on user feedback, resulting in a highly user-friendly design. | User testing is conducted with relevant target users, and feedback is generally constructive. The app design is refined based on user feedback, enhancing usability. | User testing is conducted with a limited group of users, and feedback may lack depth or diversity. The app design is adjusted based on some user feedback but may not fully address all usability issues. | User testing is minimal or nonexistent, and little to no user feedback is incorporated into the app design. Usability issues may remain unaddressed. |